Younger Members Convention, Birmingham

#### Managing longevity risk

Stephen Richards 4<sup>th</sup> December 2007

Copyright (c) Stephen Richards. All rights reserved. Electronic versions of this and other freely available papers and presentations can be found at www.richardsconsulting.co.uk

## Managing longevity risk — plan of talk

- 1. Investing in heart disease
- 2. Capital-market solutions
- 3. Socio-economic group
- 4. Bespoke risk models
- 5. Summary and questions

#### 1. Investing in heart disease

#### Reliance of pension sponsor on CHD

Cause	45 - 64	65 - 84	85 +
Infectious diseases	6	30	160
Injury and poisoning	37	62	330
Respiratory diseases	45	587	$3,\!882$
Cancers	240	$1,\!382$	$3,\!394$
Circulatory diseases	225	1,784	$7,\!813$
All causes	644	4,316	18,803

Source: Selected causes of death for males, 2003, ONS data for England and Wales.

Slide 3

\_

www.richards consulting.co.uk

#### 20th century mortality



Source: ONS data

www.richardsconsulting.co.uk

Slide 4

#### Italian mortality, 50–74 (males and females)



Source: Cocevar, 2007

Slide 5

#### www.richardsconsulting.co.uk

#### Rise and fall of heart-disease mortality



Source: Azambuja and Levins (2007). Data from Massachusetts Department of Public Health "Registry of Vital Records and Statistics".

Slide 6

www.richardsconsulting.co.uk

#### Adequacy of improvement basis



Source: Richards et al (2007)

Slide 7

#### www.richards consulting.co.uk

#### 2. Capital-market solutions

Scheme	Members	$\mathbf{Concentration}^*$	
E	40	11%	
Η	800	12%	
$\mathbf{C}$	$5,\!300$	6%	

#### Largest scheme (C) pays 50% of all pensions to just 6% of members.

Source: Richards Consulting calculations using Prudential data.

\*Concentration is the percentage of members accounting for half of all pensions in payment.

Slide 9

www.richards consulting.co.uk

#### Concentration of income by membership decile



Source: Richards Consulting calculations using Prudential data for Scheme C.

Slide 10

www.richardsconsulting.co.uk

## Concentration of risk

- Gini co-efficient measures income inequality
- $\bullet$  36.8% for UK, one of the more unequal countries
- $\bullet$  70.8% for Scheme C

Source: mortalityrating.com

Slide 11

#### Current scheme options

- Buy-out: total defeasance of legal and economic risks
- LDI: some hedging of economic risks, none of longevity or legal risk
- Securitization
- Options and reinsurance
- Derivatives and indices

### Risks with buy-out

- Individual buy-out policies upon wind-up are safe
- Buy-out policies as ongoing scheme asset still risky
- Uberima fides applies to scheme, not insurer

#### Options and reinsurance

Scheme	Members	Option cost
E	40	7.7%
Η	800	2.6%
$\mathbf{C}$	$5,\!300$	0.6%

Source: Richards Consulting calculations using Prudential data.

Option cost is percentage of reserves calculated at 5% interest using 90% PNxA00 with mediumcohort improvements with a 1.5% p.a. floor. Pensions assumed escalating at 2.5% per annum.

Slide 14

#### Securitization

- Transfer as much economic and longevity risk as you want
- Trustee-friendly as AAA-rated
- Enhances employer covenant
- Investor-friendly as limited longevity risk
- Not insurance, so lower capital requirements

#### Legal wrinkles with securitization

- LDI leaves scheme with all legal and economic risks
- Insurers may not honour scheme-asset buy-outs in extreme cases
- Securitization not yet recognized in PPF process for employer insolvency

## Derivatives and indices

- Index-based contracts based on population statistics
- Examples: LifeMetrics (JPMorgan) and Credit Suisse
- Substantial basis risk
- Partial coverage (e.g. 30%) often most efficient

#### 3. Socio-economic group

#### Retirement life expectancy by socio-economic group



Source: ONS Longitudinal Survey.

Slide 19

www.richards consulting.co.uk

#### Financial impact of lifestyle

Financial impact of mortality rating factors

Factor	Step change	Reserve	Change
Base case	-	13.39	-
Gender	Female-male	12.14	-9.3%
Lifestyle	Top-bottom	10.94	-9.9%
Duration	Short-long	9.88	-9.7%
Pension size	Large-small	9.36	-5.2%
Region	South-North	8.90	-4.9%
Overall	-	-	-33.6%

Source: Richards and Jones (2004), page 39.

\_

Slide 20

## Why fund size is not reliable

- $\bullet$  Stakeholder fund of £8,583
- Poor? Higher-mortality group?
- But AVC fund elsewhere of  $\pounds 42,808...$
- ..., giving total fund of  $\pounds 51,391...$
- ... so not poor and likely light mortality!

#### How not to do postcode rating

- Beware any solution based on regional or population data!
- Test: EH4 2AB v. EH11 2AS
- Rating the two the same is simply wrong

#### 4. Bespoke risk models

#### Limitations of postcode-only solution

- Scheme has credible data: 9,000 lives
- A/E suggests 150% PCMA00
- Postcode model suggests 110% PCMA00
- Which is correct?

## Limitations of A/E analysis

- Vulnerable to shifts in membership profile
- Barber judgement
- De-industrialization

#### Survival models

- Statistical models, so better than A/E calculations
- Full and efficient use of data, so better than GLMs
- Can handle age, gender, postcode, pension size
- ... also cohort, region, time trend and duration
- Models can be fitted weighted by pension size or reserve
- Bootstrapping can check financial applicability

#### 5. Summary and questions

## 5. Summary and questions

- Strong pattern of mortality improvements likely to continue
- Medium cohort inadequate for best-estimate purposes
- Capital-market alternatives to buy-out
- Postcode rating for socio-economic mix
- Survival models best for bespoke risk analysis

#### References

LONGEVITAS 2006 Modelling pensioner mortality, www.longevitas.co.uk COCEVAR, P. 2007 An analysis of recent mortality trends in the Italian population using penalised B-spline regression, submitted paper RICHARDS, S. J. AND JONES, G. L. 2004 Financial aspects of longevity risk, SIAS

RICHARDS, S. J., ELLAM, J. R., HUBBARD, J., LU, J. L. C., MAKIN, S. J. AND MILLER, K. A. 2007 Two-Dimensional Mortality Data: Patterns and Projections, British Actuarial Journal (to appear)

# emortalityrating.com